

Smith, N., Damant, J., Hamashima, Y., Jasim, S et al, Care planning in older adult care homes: A qualitative study of care staff experience and views, The International Journal of Care and Caring

Appendix one: Standards for Reporting Qualitative Research (SRQR)

<https://www.equator-network.org/reporting-guidelines/srqr/>

Item	Section	Additional information
<b>Title and abstract</b>		
<b>Title</b> - Concise description of the nature and topic of the study Identifying the study as qualitative or indicating the approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory) or data collection methods (e.g., interview, focus group) is recommended	Title	
<b>Abstract</b> - Summary of key elements of the study using the abstract format of the intended publication; typically includes background, purpose, methods, results, and conclusions	Abstract	
<b>Introduction</b>		
<b>Problem formulation</b> - Description and significance of the problem/phenomenon studied; review of relevant theory and empirical work; problem statement	Introduction	
<b>Purpose or research question</b> - Purpose of the study and specific objectives or questions	Introduction	
<b>Methods</b>		
<b>Qualitative approach and research paradigm</b> - Qualitative approach (e.g., ethnography, grounded theory, case study, phenomenology, narrative research) and guiding theory if appropriate; identifying the research paradigm (e.g., postpositivist, constructivist/ interpretivist) is also recommended; rationale**	Methods	
<b>Researcher characteristics and reflexivity</b> - Researchers' characteristics that may influence the research, including personal attributes, qualifications/experience, relationship with participants, assumptions, and/or presuppositions; potential or actual interaction between researchers' characteristics and the research questions, approach, methods, results, and/or transferability	See additional information	The seven researchers involved directly in the data collection and analysis were all academic researchers. They included both early career researchers and more experienced researchers. All researchers had a relevant post graduate qualification. Two researchers also had a practice background in nursing.  The remaining authors who advised on the study, commented on findings and the manuscript, were either senior academics, or were PCPIE advisors.
<b>Context</b> - Setting/site and salient contextual factors; rationale**	Introduction Study design and recruitment Participants	

<b>Sampling strategy</b> - How and why research participants, documents, or events were selected; criteria for deciding when no further sampling was necessary (e.g., sampling saturation); rationale**	Study design and recruitment	
<b>Ethical issues pertaining to human subjects</b> - Documentation of approval by an appropriate ethics review board and participant consent, or explanation for lack thereof; other confidentiality and data security issues	Ethical statement	
<b>Data collection methods</b> - Types of data collected; details of data collection procedures including (as appropriate) start and stop dates of data collection and analysis, iterative process, triangulation of sources/methods, and modification of procedures in response to evolving study findings; rationale**	Data collection	
<b>Data collection instruments and technologies</b> - Description of instruments (e.g., interview guides, questionnaires) and devices (e.g., audio recorders) used for data collection; if/how the instrument(s) changed over the course of the study	Data collection	
<b>Units of study</b> - Number and relevant characteristics of participants, documents, or events included in the study; level of participation (could be reported in results)	Participants p	
<b>Data processing</b> - Methods for processing data prior to and during analysis, including transcription, data entry, data management and security, verification of data integrity, data coding, and anonymization/de-identification of excerpts	Data collection Analysis	
<b>Data analysis</b> - Process by which inferences, themes, etc., were identified and developed, including the researchers involved in data analysis; usually references a specific paradigm or approach; rationale**	Analysis	
<b>Techniques to enhance trustworthiness</b> - Techniques to enhance trustworthiness and credibility of data analysis (e.g., member checking, audit trail, triangulation); rationale**	Analysis	
<b>Results/findings</b>		
<b>Synthesis and interpretation</b> - Main findings (e.g., interpretations, inferences, and themes); might include development of a theory or model, or integration with prior research or theory	Findings	
<b>Links to empirical data</b> - Evidence (e.g., quotes, field notes, text excerpts, photographs) to substantiate analytic findings	Findings	
<b>Discussion</b>		
<b>Integration with prior work, implications, transferability, and contribution(s) to the field</b> - Short summary of main findings; explanation of how findings and conclusions connect to, support, elaborate on, or challenge conclusions of earlier scholarship; discussion of scope of application/generalizability; identification of unique contribution(s) to scholarship in a discipline or field	Discussion	
<b>Limitations</b> - Trustworthiness and limitations of findings	Discussion	
<b>Other</b>		
<b>Conflicts of interest</b> - Potential sources of influence or perceived influence on study conduct and conclusions; how these were managed	End of manuscript	
<b>Funding</b> - Sources of funding and other support; role of funders in data collection, interpretation, and reporting	End of manuscript	